UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

In Re:

MINING PROJECT WIND DOWN HOLDINGS

INC., f/k/a Compute North Holdings,

Inc.,

Debtor.

Case No. 22-90273

Chapter 11

The Video Conference Deposition of PETER ALLARD,
Appearing remotely from Austin, Texas,
Commencing at 11:03 a.m. (CDT),
Thursday, July 27, 2023,
Before Carol L. Gavigan, CSR-2494, RPR.

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 2 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     NICHOLAS BROWN (via video conference)
 3
     ASK, LLP
 4
     2600 Eagan Woods Drive
     Suite 400
 6
     St. Paul, Minnesota 55121
     (651) 289-3867
 8
 9
     nbrown@askllp.com
10
          Appearing on behalf of Bankruptcy Plan Administrator.
11
     STEVEN A. LEYH (via video conference)
12
13
     Hoover Slovacek, LLP
     5051 Westheimer
14
15
     Suite 1200
16
     Houston, Texas 77056
17
     (713) 977-8686
18
     leyh@hooverslovacek.com
19
          Appearing on behalf of Bob's Limited.
20
21
     ALSO APPEARING:
22
     Tony Merchant (via video conference)
23
2.4
25
```

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 3 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

| 1 | TABLE OF CONTENTS | |
|----|------------------------------------|------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | WITNESS | PAGE |
| 4 | PETER ALLARD | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | EXAMINATION | |
| 7 | BY MR. BROWN: | 5 |
| 8 | EXAMINATION | |
| 9 | BY MR. LEYH: | 29 |
| 10 | RE-EXAMINATION | |
| 11 | BY MR. BROWN: | 35 |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | EXHIBITS | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | EXHIBIT | PAGE |
| 16 | (Exhibits attached to transcript.) | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1 | 36 |
| 19 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 2 | 36 |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | Austin, Texas | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Thursday, July 27, 2023 | |
| 3 | 11:03 a.m. (CDT) | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | REPORTER: The attorneys participating in | |
| 6 | this deposition acknowledge that I am not physically | |
| 7 | present in the deposition room and that I will be | |
| 8 | reporting this deposition remotely. They further | |
| 9 | acknowledge that, in lieu of an oath administered in | |
| 10 | person, the witness will verbally declare his | |
| 11 | testimony in this matter is under penalty of perjury. | |
| 12 | The parties and their counsel consent to this | |
| 13 | arrangement and waive any objections to this manner of | |
| 14 | reporting. | |
| 15 | Counsel, please indicate your agreement | |
| 16 | by stating your name and your agreement on the record. | |
| 17 | MR. LEYH: Steven A. Leyh, counsel for | |
| 18 | Bobs Limited, so agreed. | |
| 19 | MR. BROWN: Nick Brown, counsel for the | |
| 20 | Plan Administrator, we agree. | |
| 21 | PETER ALLARD, | |
| 22 | was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after | |
| 23 | having first been duly sworn to testify to the truth, | |
| 24 | the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was | |
| 25 | examined and testified as follows: | |

EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. BROWN: 2 Good morning, Mr. Allard. My name is Nick Brown. 3 Ο. represent the Plan Administrator in the Compute North Holdings Bankruptcy cases, which are currently pending in the Southern District of Texas Bankruptcy Court. 6 7 Thank you for being here today. Could you please state your full name, for 8 the record? 9 Peter Allard. 10 Α. 11 Mr. Allard, have you ever had a deposition done Q. before? 12 13 Α. I have been through one in the past, yes. 14 Q. Then you should be familiar with how this 15 I'll go over just a couple just reminders. 16 This might be your first remote deposition, so it's a 17 little bit different. If you have any problems with 18 hearing me, let me know. My connection should be 19 pretty good, but -- and, likewise, if I have a problem 20 hearing you, I'll let you know and we'll work it out. 21 If you need me to repeat a question, just 22 feel free to ask me. If you don't understand a 23 question, same thing. It's important, because this 24 whole deposition will be transcribed, that we don't talk over each other. So I'll do my best to wait for 25

you to finish speaking before I follow up, and I'd 1 2 appreciate the same courtesy when I'm asking questions, if you would just wait until I'm finished 3 4 before responding. 5 And you are here on your own without -without an attorney; is that right? 6 7 That is correct. Α. Ο. Okay. And you are here on behalf of True North Data 8 Solutions US; is that right? 9 10 Α. Yes, that is correct. 11 Did you receive a copy of the Subpoena requiring Q. 12 True North's appearance at this deposition? 13 Α. Yes, I did. 14 Q. All right. I'm going to go ahead and try to drop a 15 copy of that Subpoena into the chat box. That's how 16 we're going to present Exhibits today. I'll label it 17 Exhibit 1. 18 While we're waiting for that to upload, 19 Mr. Allard, just one other question, it's a standard 20 question, but is there any reason that you're aware of 21 that you cannot participate in this deposition today? 22 For example, are you on any medication that might 23 prevent you from understanding or answering my 24 questions? 25 Α. No, I am not.

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 7 of 54 Peter Allard July 27. 2023

July 27, 2023 Okay. What I've done is I've dropped Exhibit Number 1 1 Q. 2 into the chat box. Can you access that and open it? 3 It's downloading. Α. 4 Okay, I have a copy open. 5 Q. Does this look like what you received? Α. At a glance, yes, it does. 6 7 Okay, great. Q. MR. BROWN: And just for the court 8 9 reporter's convenience, this document does have the 10 case caption on it. This is the Bankruptcy case that 11 we are appearing for today. BY MR. BROWN: 12 13 Ο. Mr. Allard, what is your relationship to True North 14 Data Solutions, US, Inc.? 15 I am the president of True North Data Solutions. Α. 16 Is there an affiliate True North Company in Canada? Q. 17 Α. Yes, there is. 18 Q. What is the name of that company? Α. 19 True North Data Solutions, LTD? 20 What is your position with that company? Q. 21 Α. President. 22 Q. Okay. So for -- for right now, at least, I'm going to 23 refer to True North, and I'll be talking about the US 24 entity, and in the course of our discussion today, if

it turns out that they -- that the Canada company is

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 8 of 54 July 27, 2023

more relevant, then we can decide another way of 1 2 referring to them. But for now when I refer to 3 True North, I'll be referring to the US company. 4 you understand? Yes, I do. 5 Α. Q. All right. How long has True North been in operation? 6 7 Α. Approximately one year. Just over one year. 0. What year was it incorporated? 8 9 It was either late 2021, or early 2022. Α. What is -- excuse me, what does True North do? 10 Ο. 11 Α. The entity in question specifically manages the purchase and sale of computer servers. 12 13 Ο. What type of computer servers? 14 Α. What type of computer servers --15 Did you say service or servers? Ο. 16 Servers, hardware, computer hardware. Α. 17 Q. So is True North like a broker? 18 Α. That's a fair understanding, yes. 19 Q. Does True North actually purchase or -- purchase servers for itself or sell its own servers? 20 21 Α. It does purchase both for its own use, as well as for 22 resale. 23 Q. Where is True North located? 24 True North is located in Austin, Texas. Α. 25 What is the business address?

Q.

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 9 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

- 206 Chesapeake Bay Lane, Austin, Texas, Zip Code 1 Α. 78717. 2 3 How many employees are there? Q. 4 Α. Just myself. 5 Q. So you refer to the Canadian company as -- the name is True North Data Solutions, LTD. If it's okay with you 6 7 I'll refer to that as True North Canada. Would that be okay? 8 Yes, it is. 9 Α. What is the relationship, if any, between True North 10 Q. 11 and True North Canada? True North is a subsidiary of True North Canada. 12 Α. Does True North Canada do largely the same thing that 13 Ο. 14 you were just describing that True North does? 15 Α. Its -- its business model is slightly different. Ιt 16 does more data-centered development projects, 17 development and operations. 18 Are you familiar with Compute North? Q. Α. 19 Yes, I am. 20 What -- could you give me sort of a general Q. 21 understanding about your familiarity, what is your 22 familiarity with that company? 23 Α. My understanding, they were a data-centered developer 2.4 who offered colocation services within the Bitcoin
- 25 mining industry.

- 1 Q. Does True North deal in the Bitcoin mining industry?
- A. True North does sell hardware, which some are Bitcoin mining servers, yes.
- 4 | Q. What about True North Canada?

9

10

11

- $5 \mid A$. It does host for Bitcoin miners as well.
- Q. Could you explain a little bit more of what that means, when you say hosting for Bitcoin miners?
 - A. Yes. True North Canada, as a data-centered developer and operator, will enter into colocation hosting agreements with individuals who have servers that they're seeking to get operational. They will engage inside of that hosting agreement.
- Q. What sort of services does True North Canada provide in connection with its colocation hosting services?

 For example, does it provide electricity?
- 16 A. Provide electricity and boots on the ground
 17 operations, physical maintenance of the equipment.
- Q. Is that -- is it your understanding that those types of colocation hosting services are similar to what Compute North was providing?
- 21 A. I've never seen a hosting agreement from Compute
 22 North. I couldn't answer that.
- Q. Has True North or True North Canada had any direct business dealings with Compute North?
- 25 A. Yes. The sale of some servers from True North to

- Compute North.

 Q. From True North to who? I'm sorry.

 A. To Compute North.
- Q. Was that a single transaction, or was that something that happened on a number of occasions?
- A. If I recall correctly, I believe there were two separate transactions.
- 8 Q. Was True North the broker in that transaction?
- 9 A. Yes, they were.
- 10 Q. Do you know who was -- or what company was actually providing the servers?
- 12 A. The manufacturer of that equipment in question is a company called Minerva Semiconductor Corporation.
- 14 Q. Do you know where they are located?
- 15 A. Their last known address, to my knowledge, is in Calgary, Alberta.
- Q. Do you have more specific information about their address?
- 19 A. I do not know.
- Q. Do you remember who you were dealing with, if anyone, at the Minerva Semiconductor Corporation?
- 22 A. Yes. The CEO gentleman's name is Mark Mott.
- Q. Are you familiar with Bobs Limited?
- A. To my knowledge, that's the entity that Merchants had used to acquire equipment from Compute North.

Q. Have you had any dealings with Bobs Limited? I'm sorry, when I say you, I'm referring to True North or True North Canada?

- A. Yeah. I was thinking about that the other day, and to my knowledge, we have always engaged Merchants through some other entities that I don't believe there's any direct engagement between Bobs and True North or True North Canada.
- Q. Are you aware of whether Bobs Limited was involved in any of the two -- in either of the two transactions that True North had with Compute North?
- A. Yeah, from what I understand, Bobs Limited had purchased a number of machines which Compute North had purchased from True North as the broker for Minerva.
- Q. And what -- how did you become aware of that?
- A. A mutual industry contact between the Merchants and I out of Canada originally made a referral introduction to Merchants that they were looking for some hosting services for some equipment that previously had been allocated to a Compute North facility, as well as they were interested in some information or updates about their equipment purchase via Compute North with True North in relation to the Minerva equipment.
- Q. Did you make contact with Bobs Limited?
- 25 A. Yeah, I think the gentleman in question that provided

- the referral set up an initial call for the parties to discuss options.
 - Q. Do you remember who was on that call?

- A. I can't recall the name of the gentleman off the top
 of my head that provided the introduction. I would
 have been on that call. He would have been on that
 call. And one of either possibly both Matthew
 Merchant and/or Tony Merchant.
 - Q. Tell me a little bit more about who Matthew Merchant and Tony Merchant are.
 - A. Aside from being a couple of pretty interesting standup gentlemen that I'd like to have a future in business with, my understanding of them is we engaged through them through an entity by the name of Terra International for some hosting services, as well as some liquid immersion infrastructure. And then by way of Compute North and the Minerva equipment in question is being considered inside of the hosting space, and that has been carved out for the Merchants. So of Tony and Matthew, I know them to be a father/son team that have taken different roles inside of our relationship at different points throughout.
 - Q. What role does Matthew Merchant primarily play?
 - A. That has never been clearly established, but my understanding is he's looking after more of the

- day-to-day operations. 1 What about Tony Merchant? 2 Q. I think Tony has historically come into the picture 3 Α. 4 when we're, you know, looking to resolve any issues, 5 whether that's for equipment, review of any agreements that we've been entered into, yeah. 6 7 Is Tony Merchant an attorney, to your knowledge? Q. 8 Α. To my knowledge, yes, he is. Is Tony Merchant an officer or member of Bobs Limited 9 Q. or one of the other -- or Terra International, for 10 11 example, to your knowledge? To my knowledge, I do not know that, no. 12 Α. 13 Ο. Just a minute ago you were describing I think either 14 Terra International or Bobs Limited, their involvement 15 in connection with Compute North and some Minerva 16 equipment that was being considered inside of hosting 17 space; is that right? 18 Α. Yes, that's accurate. Okay. So is that Minerva equipment, is that Minerva 19 Q. 20 equipment that you're referring to equipment that was 21 being purchased or had been purchased by Bobs Limited 22 or Terra International? 23 Α. That equipment, to my knowledge, was purchased
- 23 A. That equipment, to my knowledge, was purchased
 24 directly from Compute North on behalf of Bobs Limited.

Q. Being purchased from -- I'm sorry, I need to make sure

I understand the different roles here. So Minerva is 1 the manufacturer, correct? 2 3 Α. Correct. 4 0. And who is acquiring the equipment from Minerva? 5 Α. True North. 0. As a broker? 6 7 Α. Correct. Ο. To be -- to be provided to Compute North; is that 8 right? 9 10 Α. Yes, that is correct. 11 0. On behalf of Bobs Limited; is that right? 12 Α. To my knowledge, yes, but we have no visibility beyond 13 our engagement with Compute North. 14 Ο. To your knowledge, where was this particular Minerva 15 equipment to be delivered? 16 Could you repeat the question, please? Α. 17 Q. Where was this Minerva equipment that you've been 18 talking about, where was it to be delivered? So it's 19 -- it's acquired by True North, as broker, to be 20 provided to Compute North on behalf of Bobs Limited. 21 Where was it supposed to be delivered? 22 Α. To my knowledge, it was supposed to be delivered 23 originally to a Compute North facility. I don't have 24 the exact address available at this time. 25 You said originally. Did -- did the arrangement for Q.

- location of delivery ever change, to your knowledge? 1 2 Α. I believe you guys have cited the e-mail 3 communication where that change had occurred to go from a Compute North facility to a True North facility. Q. Do you recall that e-mail correspondence? Like 6 outside of looking at the attachment to the Subpoena, do you recall that e-mail correspondence? 8 Yes, I do. 9 Α. 10 Q. Okay. Let's pull that up. I'm going to put that in 11 the chat box and label it Exhibit 2. Please let me 12 know once you're able to open it up. 13 Α. Yes, I see that. First of all, I noticed that the signature block for 14 Q. 15 you on behalf of True North is the -- is True North 16 So was it True North Canada, or True North US Canada. 17 that was involved in this particular transaction with 18 Bobs Limited and Compute North? 19 I would have to go back and reference the agreement, Α. 20 but, to my knowledge, it was the True North US entity. 21 Ο. Is it your common practice to use the True North 22 Canada signature block on all of your e-mails 23 regardless of whether you're communicating on behalf 24 of True North Canada or True North US?
 - A. Yes, it is.

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 17 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

Q. Now, if you scroll to the bottom, I guess it's page 2, 1 that's the earliest communication on this Exhibit. 2 3 This is your e-mail to someone at Compute North and someone with an e-mail address matthew@get.love. 5 is matthew@qet.love? Α. I lost the page here, but to my knowledge, 6 7 matthew@get.love is Matthew Merchant. 0. Are you able to access this Exhibit, or not? 8 I saw it a minute ago. I'd have to re-download it if 9 Α. 10 you need me to look at something that's specific. 11 Okay, I think it would be helpful for you to look at Q. it, because I'm going to ask you some detailed 12 13 questions about it. 14 Α. Could you repeat the question, please? 15 0. Yeah, just wanted to make sure, do you have this 16 e-mail Exhibit in front of you now? Α. 17 Yes, I do. 18 Q. Okay, thanks. 19 So, again, I was focusing on the first 20 e-mail in this string, which is the one on page 2 of 21 this Exhibit. And you'll notice it's your e-mail to 22 someone at Compute North and Matthew Merchant. Was --23 do you know if Matthew Merchant was -- I'm sorry, let 24 me start over. 25 In this particular e-mail, what company, to

your knowledge, was Matthew Merchant representing? 1 2 Α. I've got no recollection of which entity at that time 3 he would have been representing, other than the -- you 4 know, the family's interest would be the closest 5 information I could share with you. Q. Okay. So if I'm understanding, you mentioned Bobs 6 Limited as well as a company named Terra International as two companies where Matthew Merchant has an 8 Is that right, to your knowledge? 9 interest. 10 Α. Without defining interest, he has been part of 11 communications for both of those entities, yes. 12 Are there other entities where, to your knowledge, Ο. 13 Matthew Merchant has some sort of -- or I quess I should say has been communicating on behalf of other 14 15 companies besides the two that we just mentioned? 16 Α. Nothing that I'm aware of at this time, no. 17 Q. Have you ever heard of a company named Merchant 18 Mining? Α. 19 It's not ringing any bells, no. 20 All right. Back to this e-mail. You're referencing Ο. 21 300 Minerva MV7 units. Do you see that? 22 Α. Yes, I do. 23 Q. Are those the Minerva equipment that you were 2.4 describing that True North had acquired from the 25 manufacturer as a broker to be provided to

1 Compute North on behalf of Bobs Limited?

- A. When the agreement with True North and Compute North was struck for the purchase of equipment, at that time we had no further details of what Compute North was doing with that equipment. But, yes, everything else you said is accurate.
 - Q. So -- okay, so at the time of the agreement with

 Compute North, True North did not know what Compute

 North was going to do with these miners; is that what
 you're saying?
- 11 | A. Yes, exactly.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

- Q. And is it also accurate that subsequent to entering into the agreement with Compute North, True North discovered that Compute North's intention was to provide these units to Bobs Limited?
- 16 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 17 Q. Do you have a copy of the agreement between True North and Compute North?
- 19 A. Yes, we would have that in our files somewheres.
- Q. Who were you communicating with at Compute North in connection with this agreement to provide 300 Minerva units to Compute North?
- 23 A. A gentleman by the name of Ro Shirole.
- Q. You're the primary person at True North that was handling this particular transaction for True North?

- 1 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. How many conversations or e-mails would you say you had with Mr. Shirole regarding this particular
- 4 transaction?
- 5 A. I can't recall that information at this time, but a number of them.
- 7 Q. Phone calls as well?
- 8 A. Yeah, phone calls, e-mails, it would have been both.
- 9 Q. Would True North still have copies of those e-mails?
- 10 A. It's likely, if they haven't been wiped out of the
- cache, that they could be made available, yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay. So the arrangement was that Compute North was
- to purchase these units from True North. Do you
- 14 recall the purchase price -- or, excuse me, let me
- 15 back up.
- I should say the arrangement was, as I
- 17 understand it, Compute North was to purchase these
- 18 300 units from True North, as broker, who was
- 19 | acquiring them from Minerva; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes, that's -- that's accurate.
- 21 Q. Do you remember what the Compute North purchase price
- 22 was for these 300 units?
- 23 A. Not without referencing the agreement, no.
- 24 | Q. Do you recall if Minerva shipped these 300 units?
- 25 A. To my knowledge, they have not shipped those units.

Q. What are you basing that knowledge on? 1 The Minerva has a number of units that have been 2 Α. unshipped to True North. I'm unaware if Minerva would 3 have shipped Compute North any equipment directly. Q. I was making a note and didn't hear that last part. Could you repeat that last statement? 6 7 Minerva has a number of units that are currently Α. outstanding to be delivered to True North. 8 unaware if Minerva has shipped Compute North any 9 10 equipment directly. 11 How do you know that Minerva has a number of units Q. 12 that are outstanding to be shipped to Compute North? 13 Well, Minerva has no direct obligation to Compute North, they have an obligation to True North, so our 14 15 accounting tells us that they have not delivered all 16 the equipment that has been purchased from them. 17 Q. Would that include the 300 units that we're talking 18 about right now? Yes, it does. 19 Α. 20 Did Compute North pay True North for these units? Ο. 21 Α. I would have to double-check the records, but, to my 22 knowledge, they -- they paid at least the initial 23 deposit, which I believe was 85 percent, and they may 24 have paid the remaining balance of 15 percent,

however, I'd have to go and confirm that.

- Q. And has -- I would assume then the next step would be for True North to pay Minerva if it hasn't already.

 So let me ask, has True North paid Minerva for these units?
 - A. Yes, we have.

2.4

- Q. Okay. Has True North paid the full purchase price to Minerva?
 - A. Again, we would have to double-check the accounting to answer that question, but at minimum the deposit that would have been received would have been passed forward. If the full balance was received, it also would have been passed forward.
 - Q. Is it your -- so then what is your understanding about the holdup here? Because, really, I think with this litigation that you've kind of been brought into here in this deposition is about is what's happened to these miners and why haven't they been delivered to Bobs Limited or to Compute North. We're kind of a little bit in the dark here. So what is your understanding about the holdup, and what else can you tell us about why these units haven't been shipped?
 - A. Yeah, so the current state of that unfulfilled purchase order between True North and Minerva is currently with our legal counsel, who submitted a statement of claim against Minerva for the undelivered

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 23 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

equipment to True North. And the last communication 1 that we had from Minerva, they were citing that there 2 were manufacturing delays and other issues that were 3 4 preventing them from delivering the equipment. 5 Q. And I think you said, but just to confirm, it is --True North is having legal counsel communicate with 6 7 Minerva on this issue at this time? Is that right? Α. Yes, that is correct. 8 So you are not directly communicating with Minerva; is 9 Q. 10 that right? 11 Α. No, I am not. Is True North conducting any transactions with Minerva 12 Ο. 13 at this time outside of this Compute North deal? 14 Α. We've ceased all further business dealings with 15 Minerva. 16 Is that because of the -- this particular incident, or Q. 17 are there other reasons? 18 Α. This particular incident of undelivered equipment. 19 Q. Going back to the e-mail Exhibit Number 2, do you 20 still have that up? 21 Α. Let me pull it up. 22 Okay. 23 Q. Is it your understanding -- so, sorry, on page 2 you 24 asked both Compute North and Matthew Merchant to 25 acknowledge and agree that the units will be provided

- to a True North facility; is that right? 1 2 Α. Yes, that's correct. And do you -- what's your understanding about why the 3 Q. 4 parties agreed to sort of reroute or I quess modify 5 their arrangement to have these units be delivered to True North? 6 7 To my knowledge, Compute North did not have the Α. capacity to get that equipment in question online at 8 that time. 9 So True North was -- I guess had agreed then to 10 Q. 11 provide the colocation services; is that right? That's correct, we were to provide some temporary 12 Α. 13 hosting services for the equipment. 14 Ο. You said temporary. Were you anticipating that 15 eventually the units would be redirected to Compute 16 North, or were they to remain at True North? It was unknown at that time, so it was to be a 17 Α. month-to-month hosting arrangement. 18 19 Obviously, the units were never delivered, so there Q. 20 was never any colocation hosting services provided by 21 True North; is that right? That is correct, for those units. 22 Α. 23 Q. Did True North ever receive any payments from Bobs 24 Limited related to this particular equipment?
- 25 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

- Q. Did True North ever receive any hosting service fees in connection with this equipment?
- 3 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. The e-mail Exhibit here, I see confirmation from

 Mr. Merchant that he agrees with this arrangement. I

 don't see the response from Compute North. Do you

 recall if Compute North made a similar response

 agreeing that the units would be held at a True North

 data facility?
- 10 A. Yes, that -- that would have been agreed to at some
 11 point prior to True North accepting those hosting
 12 services as it came up.
 - Q. So there are fees associated with delivering these units, I assume some sort of shipping fee. Is that typically part of the -- the transaction fees here is paying for delivery?
 - A. Yes, if we were to -- to reference the equipment purchase agreement in question, it would have language in there of who would be responsible for transportation fees.
- Q. Do you know at this time who was responsible for those transportation fees?
- A. I would have to reference the agreement, but, no, I can't recall specifically at this time.
- Q. Do you know if any transportation fees have been paid

to Minerva? 1 2 Α. Again, I would have to reference the agreement to see who was responsible for those fees, but, no, I can't 3 recall that information at this time. 5 Q. Now, going back to earlier what you were saying that True North has its attorneys involved in communicating 6 with Minerva. So is it your understanding that True North is doing what it can to motivate Minerva to 8 deliver these units on -- as quickly as possible? 9 10 Yes, to -- to our knowledge, we're exhausting all Α. 11 possible avenues to affect Minerva to deliver the 12 equipment. 13 Ο. Has a formal lawsuit been filed against Minerva? 14 Α. Yes, there has. 15 Do you know when that was filed? Ο. 16 I want to say late last year. Α. Do you know in what jurisdiction it was filed? 17 Q. 18 Α. It was -- the suit against Minerva would have been filed in Alberta, Canada. 19 20 Are you aware if Minerva disputes True North's Ο. 21 contention that they are obligated to deliver these 22 300 units? 23 Α. I would have to reference the -- with counsel on that 24 one, but to my knowledge, no.

Are you aware if Minerva disputes receiving any

25

Q.

payments in connection with these units? 1 2 To my knowledge, they have not disputed receipt of Α. 3 payments that have been made. 4 Q. At this time, are -- do you know what, if any, amounts of money True North might owe Minerva for these units? Α. I would have to reference with the finance team there, 6 7 but not off the top of my head, no. 0. Let's go back to the first Exhibit just briefly. 8 Ι want to point -- or turn your attention to pages 4 and 9 10 5 -- okay, so pages 4 and 5 of this document, beginning with the title Exhibit to Subpoena, do you 11 see that? 12 Page 2, page 3, page 4 -- Exhibit to Subpoena, yes. 13 Α. 14 So this Exhibit, did you get a chance to read these Q. 15 pages? 16 I would have at least skimmed through them, yes. Α. 17 Q. So the -- the intent behind this -- these pages 18 basically is to inform True North the subject matter of this deposition, but if you read closely, you'll 19 20 also find that it's not -- not only is it telling you 21 what we'd like to talk about at the deposition, but 22 it's also requesting documents related to these 23 topics. And I know you said, at least on a couple 24 occasions, that you believe True North has documents that, frankly, could be helpful for us in our own 25

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions.

Α.

Ο.

For example, the agreement between Compute North and True North, e-mails that True North had with Compute North, any e-mails that True North had with Bobs Limited in connection with this specific transaction, and perhaps, most importantly, would be documentation showing -- so the agreement that True North would have had with Minerva and documentation showing what payments were made to All of those things are relevant and are appropriately produced in connection with this Subpoena. Do you believe you'll be able to produce that, those documents to us, or to me specifically sometime shortly after this deposition? Yes, we can undertake to produce those documents. Okay. Maybe what I'll do then is maybe today or tomorrow I'll send you a more specific list, and I can copy Bobs Limited counsel about what exactly we're looking for, and I'll send it to you, and I'm happy to deal with you directly, or if you wish, I can -- you can refer me to your attorney, either way is fine. Okay, that sounds good. MR. BROWN: Okay, I don't have anymore questions, so at this time I'll turn it over to the attorney for Bobs Limited, who may have some

EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. LEYH: 2 Good morning. Steve Leyh, on behalf of Bobs Limited. 3 Q. 4 Mr. Allard, a few questions also, I think you may have answered most of the questions I had. 5 But when Mr. Brown was talking to you about whether or 6 7 not Minerva had shipped the units to True North, you said Minerva had not delivered all equipment to 8 Has Minerva delivered any of these 9 True North. machines to True North? 10 11 Α. Yes, they have delivered a portion of our total order with them. 12 13 Ο. How many have they delivered? 14 Α. Oh, I would have to reference our documents to give 15 you a specific number. 16 Okay, well, ballpark? Q. 17 Α. I'm sorry, I don't have that information off the top 18 of my head. Okay. Where are the machines that were delivered? 19 Q. 20 Equipment that would have been delivered from Minerva Α. 21 would have been delivered to the corresponding clients 22 who purchased it, and those are at various locations 23 at this point. Okay, let me try again. 24 Q. 25 Are we talking about the 300 Minerva 100TH

machines that were ordered by Compute North, or some 1 other machines? 2 3 Yes, so some other machines. You were asking a Α. 4 question of how many machines in total have been delivered. 5 No, I'm sorry, let me try again. 6 Q. 7 Okay, I want to focus on Compute North ordered these Minerva 100TH machines, 300 of them, 8 right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And your entity was the broker that was supposed to deal with Minerva, right? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Q. Okay. So those specific machines ordered through you, 15 as the broker, by Compute North, have any of those 16 been delivered? No, they have not been. 17 Α. 18 Q. I'm going down the list here. Okay. 19 Okay, so then did True North receive any Minerva T100TH -- excuse me -- 100TH machines from 20 21 February 2021 to September 2022, were any of those 22 machines identified as being for or on behalf of 23 Compute North or Bobs Limited? 24 No, they were not. And just real quick, guys, I just Α. 25 got a notification that my battery was running low

here, so I'm just going to try to find a power cable 1 Is it okay if I turn my video off? 2 here quick. 3 Sure, let's take a break. Q. 4 (Off the record at 12:54 p.m.) 5 (Back on the record at 12:56 p.m.) BY MR. LEYH: 6 7 Q. Is True North the exclusive North American distributor for these Minerva machines? 8 Α. No, we are not. 9 Who else is a distributor? 10 Ο. I don't have access to that information. 11 Α. Okay, and I believe you told Mr. Brown that True North 12 Ο. 13 had received payments from Compute North for these 14 machines; is that correct? Partial payment? 15 Yeah, at minimum we would have received the initial Α. 16 It's also possible that we've received a deposit. 17 final payment as well. I would just have to 18 cross-reference that. But you might -- you -- you might actually owe money 19 Q. 20 to Minerva, right, still? 21 Any funds received for equipment from True North Α. 22 clients is passed through to Minerva. 23 Q. I would assume there were some sort of communication 2.4 between True North and Minerva in regard to the 25 delivery or nondelivery of these machines; is that

right? 1 2 Α. Yes, that's correct. Those would -- might be e-mails, text messages? 3 Q. 4 Α. Verbal communications, e-mails, texts, yes, a combination of all of the above. 5 Q. Okay. As to the e-mails or text messages, can you 6 provide those to Mr. Brown in response to his Subpoena? 8 Yes, we can undertake to provide that information. 9 Α. 10 Just going down the list here. I believe you told Q. 11 Mr. Brown that in regard to the responsibility for 12 purchase or shipping of these machines that we would need to look at the contract between Compute North and 13 14 Bobs; is that right? 15 Yes, that's accurate. Α. 16 Is there another agreement in regards to the Q. Okay. 17 shipping between True North and Minerva? 18 Α. Every purchase order that comes in from a client 19 to True North is captured inside of a purchase and 20 True North then has a purchase and sale agreement. 21 sale agreement with Minerva, so, yes, there would be a 22 corresponding agreement on the other side of 300 units 23 for Compute North. 24 Can you get those agreements for Mr. Brown in response Q. 25 to his Subpoena, please?

- 1 A. Yes, we can undertake to do that.
- 2 Q. I think I wrote down how to say his name here. After
- 3 the e-mail that's Exhibit Number 2, did you talk to
- 4 Ro Shirole about this transaction?
- 5 A. It's -- it's very likely, but I don't recall any
- 6 particular conversations.
- 7 | Q. Okay. Do you know where Ro Shirole is now?
- 8 A. I do not know.
- 9 Q. If you wanted to find him, which of the former people
- 10 at Compute North would you talk to?
- 11 | A. I would go back to my e-mails and find out who our
- last point of contact would be on this matter. The
- name of whomever that individual is, I don't have at
- this time, but that's where I'd go look for it.
- 15 Q. Okay. Well, once again, in response to Mr. Brown's
- Subpoena, could you please do that, provide those
- 17 e-mails?
- 18 | A. Okay.
- 19 | Q. Is there any dispute between True North and Minerva in
- 20 regard to whether these 300 Minerva 100TH machines
- 21 were actually ordered?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry? I couldn't understand you.
- 24 A. No, there is not.
- 25 Q. Is there an assumption agreement or some other

contract where True North has assumed Compute North's 1 2 obligation to deliver these machines to Bobs Limited? 3 Α. No, there is not. 4 Q. When you say that True North is in Austin, Texas, does it have other facilities in the United States? The entity in question, no, it does not. Α. 6 7 Okay. Well, that makes me wonder what other entity Q. might be involved. Does it have subsidiaries? 8 I'm sorry, you're going to have to rephrase the 9 Α. 10 question, I'm not sure what you're asking. 11 Q. Okay. When I tried to figure out where True North 12 was, I looked on the internet, and I found a reference 13 to a facility in West Texas somewhere that I can't 14 remember the name of now. Is there such a facility 15 out there? 16 True North, under different entity names, does have a Α. 17 facility in West Texas. To my knowledge, we're 18 referencing the US company right now that has the 19 purchase and sale contract with Compute North, though. 20 Ο. And where is that facility? 21 In West Texas? Α. 22 Ο. Close to a town? 23 Α. The nearest downtown is Coyanosa. 24 Let me check my list here, I think we may be done. Q. 25 MR. LEYH:

I'll pass the witness.

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 35 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

MR. BROWN: Okay, so, Mr. Merchant, do you 1 2 have any questions? 3 MR. MERCHANT: No, I don't. Nice to see 4 you, Peter. 5 Α. Yeah, likewise, Tony. MR. BROWN: Okay, just one quick -- one 6 7 more Redirect or question for myself. RE-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. BROWN: 9 Mr. Allard, did True North receive a broker's fee in 10 Ο. connection with this transaction? 11 12 I would have to reference the purchase agreement to Α. 13 see if there was any markup on the equipment. 14 Ο. That's how True North would get a -- would want to 15 participate in this transaction, though, right, would 16 be to receive some sort of fee? 17 Α. We've got a number of solutions to monetize that. 18 Markups is one of the common ones that we use, 19 correct. 20 Are you aware if True North is owed any money from Q. Compute North in connection with this transaction? 21 22 Α. The only thing that will cross-reference and validate 23 could potentially be the final payment of 15 percent. 2.4 Okay, thank you. Okay, great, MR. BROWN: 25 no more questions from me.

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 36 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

```
MR. LEYH: Nothing further at this time.
 1
 2
                MR. BROWN: Yes, I would like a -- I don't
 3
     need an Etran, just a simple pdf copy of the
 4
     transcript would be great.
 5
                MR. LEYH: The same.
 6
                MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:
 7
                DEPOSITION EXHIBITS 1 and 2
                following the deposition.
 8
 9
                (The deposition was concluded at
10
           12:07 p.m. (CDT). Signature of the witness was
11
           not requested by counsel for the respective
12
           parties hereto.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 37 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY |
|----|--|
| 2 | STATE OF MICHIGAN) |
| 3 |) SS |
| 4 | COUNTY OF KENT) |
| 5 | |
| 6 | I, CAROL L. GAVIGAN, certify that this |
| 7 | deposition was taken before me on the date |
| 8 | hereinbefore set forth; that the foregoing questions |
| 9 | and answers were recorded by me stenographically and |
| 10 | reduced to computer transcription; that this is a |
| 11 | true, full and correct transcript of my stenographic |
| 12 | notes so taken; and that I am not related to, nor of |
| 13 | counsel to, either party nor interested in the event |
| 14 | of this cause. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Carol L Caugar |
| 20 | |
| 21 | ~ |
| 22 | CAROL L. GAVIGAN, CSR-2494, RPR |
| 23 | Notary Public, |
| 24 | Kent County, Michigan. |
| 25 | My Commission expires: April 10, 2027 |
| | |

| 30:3 associated 25:13 assume 22:1 25:14 31:23 attachment 16:7 attention 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
|---|
| 25:13 assume 22:1 9:2,7, 1 |
| assume 22:1 9:2,7, 25:14 31:23 attachment 16:7 28:1, attention 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
| 9:2,7, 1 5:18, 28:1, attachment 16:7 attention 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
| attachment 5:18, 28:1, attention 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
| 5:18, 16:7 28:1, attention 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
| 16:7 28:1, attention 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
| 28:1, attention 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
| 27:9 attorney 6:6 14:7 28:20,24 |
| attorney 6:6 4:5 14:7 28:20,24 |
| 4:5 14:7 28:20,24 |
| |
| |
| accorneys 4.5 |
| 20.0 |
| Auscin 4.1 |
| 8:24 9:1 |
| avaitable |
| 11 15:24 20:11 13 2.2000 26:11 |
| avenues 26:11 |
| aware 6:20 |
| 12:9,15 18:16 |
| 26:20,25 |
| 31:7 |
| 27:4 B |
| .3:8 |
| .0:22 back 16:19 |
| 18:20 20:15 |
| 29:5 23:19 26:5 |
| 27:8 31:5 |
| balance 21:24 |
| ing 22:11 |
| |
| hallmark |
| 28:22 ballpark |
| 28:22 29:16 |
| 28:22 29:16 1:20 Bankruptcy |
| 28:22 29:16 .1:20 Bankruptcy se 5:5,6 7:10 |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 |
| 28:22 29:16 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 |
| 28:22 29:16 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 beginning |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 beginning 27:11 |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 beginning 27:11 behalf 6:8 |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 beginning 27:11 behalf 6:8 14:24 15:11, |
| 28:22 29:16 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 beginning 27:11 behalf 6:8 14:24 15:11, 20 16:15,23 |
| 28:22 29:16 11:20 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 beginning 27:11 behalf 6:8 14:24 15:11, 20 16:15,23 18:14 19:1 |
| 28:22 29:16 Bankruptcy 5:5,6 7:10 basically 27:18 basing 21:1 battery 30:25 Bay 9:1 beginning 27:11 behalf 6:8 14:24 15:11, 20 16:15,23 |
| |

| | 12:6 16:2 21:23 27:24 28:11 31:12 bells 18:19 besides 18:15 best 5:25 bit 5:17 10:6 13:9 22:19 Bitcoin 9:24 10:1,2,5,7 block 16:14, 22 Bobs 4:18 11:23 12:1,7, 9,12,24 14:9, 14,21,24 15:11,20 16:18 18:6 19:1,15 22:18 24:23 28:4, 17,24 29:3 30:23 boots 10:16 bottom 17:1 box 6:15 7:2 16:11 break 31:3 briefly 27:8 broker 8:17 11:8 12:14 15:6,19 18:25 20:18 30:11, 15 brought 22:15 Brown 4:19 5:2,3 7:8,12 28:22 29:6 31:12 business 8:25 9:15 10:24 13:13 23:14 | called 4:22 11:13 calls 20:7,8 Canada 7:16, 25 9:7,11,12, 13 10:4,8,13, 23 12:3,8,17 16:16,22,24 26:19 Canadian 9:5 capacity 24:8 caption 7:10 carved 13:19 case 7:10 28:1 cases 5:5 CDT 4:3 ceased 23:14 CEO 11:22 chance 27:14 change 16:1,3 chat 6:15 7:2 16:11 Chesapeake 9:1 cited 16:2 citing 23:2 claim 22:25 clearly 13:24 clients 29:21 31:22 closely 27:19 closest 18:4 Code 9:1 colocation 9:24 10:9,14, 19 24:11,20 come 14:3 common 16:21 communicating 16:23 18:14 19:20 23:9 26:6 communication 16:3 17:2 23:1 31:23 | communications 18:11 companies 18:8,15 company 7:16, 18,20,25 8:3 9:5,22 11:10, 13 17:25 18:7,17 Compute 5:4 9:18 10:20, 21,24 11:1,3, 25 12:11,13, 20,22 13:17 14:15,24 15:8,13,20,23 16:4,18 17:3, 22 19:1,2,4, 8,13,14,18, 20,22 20:12, 17,21 21:4,9, 12,13,20 22:18 23:13, 24 24:7,15 25:6,7 28:1,3 30:1,7,15,23 31:13 computer 8:12,13,14,16 conducting 23:12 confirm 21:25 23:5 confirmation 25:4 connection 5:18 10:14 14:15 19:21 25:2 27:1 28:4,10 consent 4:12 considered 13:18 14:16 contact 12:16,24 contention 26:21 convenience 7:9 conversations 20:2 | copies 20:9 copy 6:11,15 7:4 19:17 28:17 Corporation 11:13,21 correct 6:7, 10 15:2,3,7, 10 23:8 24:2, 12,22 30:13 31:14 correctly 11:6 correspondence 16:6,8 corresponding 29:21 counsel 4:12, 15,17,19 22:24 23:6 26:23 28:17 couple 5:15 13:11 27:23 course 7:24 court 5:6 7:8 courtesy 6:2 cross- reference 31:18 current 22:22 D dark 22:19 data 6:8 7:14,15,19 9:6 25:9 data-centered 9:16,23 10:8 day 12:4 day-to-day 14:1 deal 10:1 23:13 28:19 30:12 dealing 11:20 dealings 10:24 12:1 23:14 |
|--|--|---|--|--|
|--|--|---|--|--|

| decide 8:1 | disputes | entering | fair 8:18 |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| declare 4:10 | 26:20,25 | 19:12 | familiar 5:14 |
| defining | distributor | entities 12:6 | 9:18 11:23 |
| 18:10 | 31:7,10 | 18:11,12 | familiarity |
| delays 23:3 | District 5:6 | entity 7:24 | 9:21,22 |
| _ | document 7:9 | 8:11 11:24 | family's 18:4 |
| deliver 26:9, | 27:10 | 13:14 16:20 | _ |
| 11,21 | | 18:2 30:11 | father/son 13:20 |
| delivered | documentation | equipment | |
| 15:15,18,21, | 28:6,8 | 10:17 11:12, | February |
| 22 21:8,15 | documents | 25 12:19,22, | 30:21 |
| 22:17 24:5,19 | 27:22,24 | 23 13:17 | fee 25:14 |
| 29:8,9,11,13, | 28:12,14 29:14 | 14:5,16,19, | feel 5:22 |
| 19,20,21 | | 20,23 15:4, | fees 25:1,13, |
| 30:5,16 | doing 19:5 | 15,17 18:23 | 15,20,22,25 |
| delivering | 26:8 | 19:3,5 21:4, | 26:3 |
| 23:4 25:13 | double-check | 10,16 23:1,4, | filed 26:13, |
| delivery 16:1 | 21:21 22:8 | 18 24:8,13,24 | 15,17,19 |
| 25:16 31:25 | downloading | 25:2,17 26:12 | files 19:19 |
| deposit 21:23 | 7:3 | 29:8,20 31:21 | final 31:17 |
| 22:9 31:16 | drop 6:14 | established | finance 27:6 |
| deposition | dropped 7:1 | 13:24 | find 27:20 |
| 4:6,7,8 5:11, | duly 4:23 | eventually | 31:1 |
| 16,24 6:12,21 | | 24:15 | fine 28:20 |
| 22:16 27:19, | E | exact 15:24 | finish 6:1 |
| 21 28:13 | | exactly 19:11 | finished 6:3 |
| describing | e-mail 16:2, | 28:17 | first 4:23 |
| 9:14 14:13 | 6,8 17:3,4, | EXAMINATION | 5:16 16:14 |
| 18:24 | 16,20,21,25 | 5:1 29:1 | 17:19 27:8 |
| detailed | 18:20 23:19 | examined 4:25 | focus 30:7 |
| 17:12 | 25:4 | exclusive | |
| details 19:4 | e-mails 16:22 | 31:7 | focusing 17:19 |
| developer | 20:2,8,9 | | |
| 9:23 10:8 | 28:2,3 | excuse 8:10 | follow 6:1 |
| development | earlier 26:5 | 20:14 30:20 | follows 4:25 |
| 9:16,17 | earliest 17:2 | exhausting | formal 26:13 |
| different | early 8:9 | 26:10 | forward |
| 5:17 9:15 | either 8:9 | Exhibit 6:17 | 22:11,12 |
| 13:21,22 15:1 | 12:10 13:7 | 7:1 16:11 | frankly 27:25 |
| direct 10:23 | 14:13 28:20 | 17:2,8,16,21 | free 5:22 |
| 12:7 21:13 | electricity | 23:19 25:4 | front 17:16 |
| directly | 10:15,16 | 27:8,11,13,14 | full 5:8 |
| 14:24 21:4,10 | employees 9:3 | Exhibits 6:16 | 22:6,11 |
| 23:9 28:19 | | explain 10:6 | funds 31:21 |
| discovered | engage 10:11 | | future 13:12 |
| 19:14 | engaged 12:5 | F | |
| discuss 13:2 | 13:13 | | |
| discussion | engagement | facility | G |
| 7:24 | 12:7 15:13 | 12:20 15:23 | general 9:20 |
| disputed 27:2 | enter 10:9 | 16:4,5 24:1 | gentleman |
| | entered 14:6 | 25:9 | 12:25 13:4 |
| | | | 12.23 13.1 |
| | | | |

| 19:23 |
|------------------|
| gentleman's |
| 11:22 |
| gentlemen |
| 13:12 |
| give 9:20 |
| 29:14 |
| glance 7:6 |
| going 6:14,16 |
| 7:22 16:10 |
| 17:12 19:9 |
| 23:19 26:5 |
| 30:18 31:1 |
| good 5:3,19 |
| 28:21 29:3 |
| great 7:7 |
| ground 10:16 |
| guess 17:1 |
| 18:13 24:4,10 |
| guys 16:2 |
| 30:24 |
| |

Η

handling 19:25 happened 11:5 22:16 happy 28:18 hardware 8:16 10:2 head 13:5 27:7 29:18 hear 21:5 heard 18:17 hearing 5:18, 20 held 25:8 helpful 17:11 27:25 historically 14:3 Holdings 5:5 holdup 22:14, 20 host 10:5 hosting 10:7, 9,12,14,19,21 12:18 13:15, 18 14:16

24:13,18,20 25:1,11

Ι

identified

30:22

immersion

13:16 important 5:23 importantly 28:5 incident 23:16,18 include 21:17 incorporated 8:8 indicate 4:15 individuals 10:10 industry 9:25 10:1 12:16 **inform** 27:18 information 11:17 12:21 18:5 20:5 26:4 29:17 31:11 infrastructure 13:16 initial 13:1 21:22 31:15 **inside** 10:12 13:18,21 14:16 **intent** 27:17 intention 19:14 interest 18:4,9,10 interested 12:21 interesting 13:11 International 13:15 14:10, 14,22 18:7 introduction 12:17 13:5

involved 12:9
 16:17 26:6
involvement
 14:14
issue 23:7
issues 14:4
 23:3

J

July 4:2 jurisdiction 26:17

K

kind 22:15,18 know 5:18,20 11:10,14,19 13:20 14:4,12 16:12 17:23 18:4 19:8 21:11 25:21, 25 26:15,17 27:4,23 knowledge 11:15,24 12:5 14:7,8,11,12,

11:15,24 12:5 14:7,8,11,12, 23 15:12,14, 22 16:1,20 17:6 18:1,9, 12 20:25 21:1,22 24:7, 25 25:3 26:10,24 27:2

L

known 11:15

label 6:16 16:11 Lane 9:1 language 25:18 largely 9:13 late 8:9 26:16 lawsuit 26:13 legal 22:24 23:6 **Leyh** 4:17 29:2,3 31:6 **lieu** 4:9 likewise 5:19 Limited 4:18 11:23 12:1,9, 12,24 14:9, 14,21,24 15:11,20 16:18 18:7 19:1,15 22:18 24:24 28:4, 17,24 29:3 30:23 **liquid** 13:16 list 28:16 30:18 litigation 22:15 **little** 5:17 10:6 13:9 22:19 located 8:23, 24 11:14 location 16:1 locations 29:22 long 8:6 look 7:5 17:10,11 looking 12:18 13:25 14:4 16:7 28:18 **lost** 17:6 low 30:25

M

machines 12:13 29:10, 19 30:1,2,3, 4,8,14,20,22 31:8,14,25 made 12:17 20:11 25:7 27:3 28:8 maintenance 10:17 make 12:24 14:25 17:15

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 42 of 54 Peter Allard July 27, 2023

| 541, 277, 2025 | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| making 21:5 | 20,25 30:8, | 14:15,24 | offered 9:24 | |
| manages 8:11 | 12,20 31:8, | 15:5,8,13,19, | officer 14:9 | |
| manner 4:13 | 20,22,24 | 20,23 16:4, | okay 5:14 6:8 | |
| manufacturer | minimum 22:9 | 15,16,18,20, | 7:1,4,7,22 | |
| 11:12 15:2 | 31:15 | 21,24 17:3,22 | 9:6,8 14:19 | |
| 18:25 | mining 9:25 | 18:24 19:1,2, | 16:10 17:11, | |
| manufacturing | 10:1,3 18:18 | 4,8,9,13,17, | 18 18:6 19:7 | |
| 23:3 | minute 14:13 | 18,20,22,24, | 20:12 22:6 | |
| Mark 11:22 | 17:9 | 25 20:9,12, | 23:22 27:10 | |
| matter 4:11 | model 9:15 | 13,17,18,21 | 28:15,21,22 | |
| 27:18 | modify 24:4 | 21:3,4,8,9, | 29:16,19,24 | |
| Matthew 13:7, | money 27:5 | 12,14,20 | 30:7,14,18,19 | |
| 9,20,23 17:7, | 31:19 | 22:2,3,6,18, | 31:2,12 | |
| 22,23 18:1,8, | month-to-month | 23 23:1,6,12, | once 16:12 | |
| 13 23:24 | 24:18 | 13,24 24:1,6, 7,10,16,21,23 | one 5:13 6:19 | |
| matthew@get. | morning 5:3 | 25:1,6,7,8,11 | 8:7 13:7 | |
| love 17:5,7 | 29:3 | 26:6,8 27:5, | 14:10 17:20 | |
| matthew@get. | motivate 26:8 | 18,24 28:2,3, | 26:24 | |
| love. 17:4 | Mott 11:22 | 7 29:7,9,10 | online 24:8 | |
| means 10:7 | mutual 12:16 | 30:1,7,15,19, | open 7:2,4 | |
| medication | MV7 18:21 | 23 31:7,12, | 16:12 | |
| 6:22 | MAN TO: 7T | 13,21,24 | operation 8:6 | |
| member 14:9 | | North's 6:12 | operational | |
| mentioned | N | 19:14 26:20 | 10:11 | |
| 18:6,15 | | note 21:5 | operations | |
| Merchant | name 4:16 | notice 17:21 | 9:17 10:17 | |
| 13:8,9,10,23 | 5:3,8 7:18 | noticed 16:14 | 14:1 | |
| 14:2,7,9 | 9:5 11:22 | notification | operator 10:9 | |
| 17:7,22,23 | 13:4,14 19:23 | 30:25 | options 13:2 | |
| 18:1,8,13,17 | named 18:7,17 | number 7:1 | order 22:23 | |
| 23:24 25:5 | need 5:21 | 11:5 12:13 | 29:11 | |
| Merchants | 14:25 17:10 | 20:6 21:2,7, | ordered 30:1, | |
| 11:24 12:5, | never 10:21 | 11 23:19 | 8,14 | |
| 16,18 13:19 | 13:24 24:19, 20 | 29:15 | originally | |
| miners 10:5,7 | | | 12:17 15:23, | |
| 19:9 22:17 | | 0 | 25 | |
| Minerva | nondelivery 31:25 | | outside 16:7 | |
| 11:13,21 | North 5:4 6:8 | oath 4:9 | 23:13 | |
| 12:14,23 | 7:13,15,16, | objections | outstanding | |
| 13:17 14:15, | 19,23 8:3,6, | 4:13 | 21:8,12 | |
| 19 15:1,4,14, | 10,17,19,23, | obligated | owe 27:5 | |
| 17 18:21,23 | 24 9:6,7,10, | 26:21 | 31:19 | |
| 19:21 20:19, | 11,12,13,14, | obligation | | |
| 24 21:2,3,7, | 18 10:1,2,4, | 21:13,14 | P | |
| 9,11,13 22:2, 3,7,23,25 | 8,13,20,22, | Obviously | | |
| 23:2,7,9,12, | 23,24,25 | 24:19 | p.m. 31:4,5 | |
| 15 26:1,7,8, | 11:1,2,3,8,25 | occasions | page 17:1,6, | |
| 11,13,18,20, | 12:2,3,7,8, | 11:5 27:24 | 20 23:23 | |
| 25 27:5 28:7, | 11,13,14,20, | occurred 16:3 | 27:13 | |
| 9 29:7,8,9, | 22,23 13:17 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| <pre>pages 27:9, 10,15,17 paid 21:22,24 22:3,6 25:25 part 18:10 21:5 25:15 Partial 31:14 participate 6:21 participating 4:5</pre> | <pre>point 25:11 27:9 29:23 points 13:22 portion 29:11 position 7:20 possible 26:9,11 31:16 possibly 13:7 power 31:1 practice 16:21</pre> | 20:13,14,17, 21 22:6,23 25:18 purchased 12:13,14 14:21,23,25 21:16 29:22 put 16:10 | record 4:16 5:9 31:4,5 records 21:21 redirected 24:15 refer 7:23 8:2 9:5,7 28:20 reference 16:19 25:17, 23 26:2,23 |
|---|---|--|--|
| <pre>particular 15:14 16:17 17:25 19:25 20:3 23:16,18 24:24 parties 4:12 13:1 24:4 passed 22:10,</pre> | present 4:7 6:16 president 7:15,21 pretty 5:19 13:11 prevent 6:23 preventing | question 5:21,23 6:19, 20 8:11 11:12 12:25 13:18 15:16 17:14 22:9 24:8 25:18 30:4 questions | 27:6 29:14 referencing 18:20 20:23 referral 12:17 13:1 referring 8:2,3 12:2 14:20 |
| 12 31:22 past 5:13 pay 21:20 22:2 paying 25:16 payment 31:14,17 | 23:4 previously 12:19 price 20:14, 21 22:6 primarily 13:23 | 6:3,24 17:13 28:23,25 29:4,5 quick 30:24 31:2 quickly 26:9 | regard 31:24 regarding 20:3 related 24:24 27:22 relation 12:23 relationship |
| payments 24:23 27:1,3 28:8 31:13 penalty 4:11 pending 5:5 percent 21:23,24 periury 4:11 | primary 19:24 prior 25:11 problem 5:19 problems 5:17 produce 28:11,14 produced | re-download 17:9 read 27:14,19 real 30:24 reason 6:20 | 7:13 9:10 13:22 relevant 8:1 28:9 remain 24:16 remaining 21:24 |
| perjury 4:11 person 4:10 19:24 Peter 4:21 5:10 phone 20:7,8 physical 10:17 physically 4:6 picture 14:3 Plan 4:20 5:4 play 13:23 please 4:15 5:8 15:16 16:11 17:14 | 28:10 projects 9:16 provide 10:13,15,16 19:15,21 24:11,12 provided 12:25 13:5 15:8,20 18:25 23:25 24:20 providing 10:20 11:11 pull 16:10 23:21 purchase 8:12,19,21 12:22 19:3 | reasons 23:17 recall 11:6 13:4 16:6,8 20:5,14,24 25:7,24 26:4 receipt 27:2 receive 6:11 24:23 25:1 30:19 received 7:5 22:10,11 31:13,15,16, 21 receiving 26:25 recollection 18:2 | remember 11:20 13:3 20:21 reminders 5:15 remote 5:16 remotely 4:8 repeat 5:21 15:16 17:14 21:6 REPORTER 4:5 reporter's 7:9 reporting 4:8,14 |

| represent 5:4 | September | 30:14 | tell 13:9 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| representing | 30:21 | specifically | 22:21 | |
| 18:1,3 | servers 8:12, | 8:11 25:24 | telling 27:20 | |
| requesting | 13,14,15,16, | 28:12 | tells 21:15 | |
| 27:22 | 20 10:3,10,25 | standard 6:19 | temporary | |
| requiring | 11:11 | standup 13:12 | 24:12,14 | |
| 6:11 | service 8:15 | start 17:24 | Terra 13:15 | |
| reroute 24:4 | 25:1 | state 5:8 | 14:10,14,22 | |
| resale 8:22 | services 9:24 | 22:22 | 18:7 | |
| resolve 14:4 | 10:13,14,19 | statement | testified | |
| responding | 12:19 13:15 | 21:6 22:25 | 4:25 | |
| 6:4 | 24:11,13,20 25:12 | stating 4:16 | testify 4:23 | |
| response | set 13:1 | step 22:1 | testimony | |
| 25:6,7 | share 18:5 | Steve 29:3 | 4:11 | |
| responsible | | Steven 4:17 | Texas 4:1 5:6 | |
| 25:19,21 26:3 | shipped 20:24,25 | string 17:20 | 8:24 9:1 | |
| review 14:5 | 20:24,25 | struck 19:3 | Thank 5:7 | |
| right 6:6,9, | 22:21 29:7 | subject 27:18 | thanks 17:18 | |
| 14 7:22 8:6 | shipping | submitted | thing 5:23 | |
| 14:17 15:9,11 | 25:14 | 22:24 | 9:13 | |
| 18:9,20 20:19 | Shirole 19:23 | Subpoena | things 28:9 | |
| 21:18 23:7,10 | 20:3 | 6:11,15 16:7 | think 12:25 | |
| 24:1,11,21 30:9,12 31:20 | shortly 28:13 | 27:11,13 | 14:3,13 17:11 | |
| | showing 28:6, | 28:11 | 22:14 23:5 | |
| ringing 18:19 Ro 19:23 | 8 | subsequent | 29:4 | |
| | signature | 19:12 | thinking 12:4 | |
| role 13:23 | 16:14,22 | subsidiary | Thursday 4:2 | |
| roles 13:21 15:1 | similar 10:19 | 9:12 | time 15:24 | |
| room 4:7 | 25:7 | suit 26:18 | 18:2,16 19:3, | |
| running 30:25 | single 11:4 | supposed | 7 20:5 23:7, 13 24:9,17 | |
| running 30:25 | skimmed 27:16 | 15:21,22 | 25:21,24 26:4 | |
| | slightly 9:15 | 30:11 | 27:4 28:23 | |
| S | Solutions 6:9 | sure 14:25 | title 27:11 | |
| 7 0 10 | 7:14,15,19 | 17:15 31:3 | today 5:7 | |
| sale 8:12 | 9:6 | sworn 4:23 | 6:16,21 7:11, | |
| 10:25 | somewheres | | 24 28:15 | |
| saying 19:10 26:5 | 19:19 | T | told 31:12 | |
| scroll 17:1 | sort 9:20 | | tomorrow | |
| | 10:13 18:13 | T100th 30:20 | 28:16 | |
| see 16:13 18:21 25:4,6 | 24:4 25:14 | take 31:3 | Tony 13:8,10, | |
| 26:2 27:12 | 31:23 | taken 13:21 | 20 14:2,3,7,9 | |
| seeking 10:11 | sounds 28:21 | talk 5:25 | top 13:4 27:7 | |
| sell 8:20 | Southern 5:6 | 27:21 | 29:17 | |
| 10:2 | space 13:19 | talking 7:23 | topics 27:23 | |
| Semiconductor | 14:17 | 15:18 21:17 | total 29:11 | |
| 11:13,21 | speaking 6:1 | 29:6,25 | 30:4 | |
| send 28:16,18 | specific | team 13:21 | transaction | |
| separate 11:7 | 11:17 17:10 | 27:6 | 11:4,8 16:17 | |
| 2011-400 11.7 | 28:4,16 29:15 | | 19:25 20:4 | |
| 1 | | 1 | | |

| | I | 1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| 25:15 28:5 | | waiting 6:18 |
| transactions | U | waive 4:13 |
| 11:7 12:10 | | want 26:16 |
| 23:12 | unaware 21:3, | 27:9 30:7 |
| transcribed | 9 | wanted 17:15 |
| 5:24 | undelivered | way 8:1 13:17 |
| transportation | | _ |
| 25:20,22,25 | 22:25 23:18 | 28:20 |
| | understand | wiped 20:10 |
| True 6:8,12 | 5:22 8:4 | witness 4:10, |
| 7:13,15,16, | 12:12 15:1 | 22 |
| 19,23 8:3,6, | 20:17 | work 5:20 |
| 10,17,19,23, | understanding | works 5:15 |
| 24 9:6,7,10, | 6:23 8:18 | |
| 11,12,13,14 | 9:21,23 10:18 | |
| 10:1,2,4,8, | 13:13,25 18:6 | Y |
| 13,23,25 | 22:13,20 | |
| 11:2,8 12:2, | 23:23 24:3 | yeah 12:4,12, |
| 3,7,8,11,14, | 26:7 | 25 14:6 17:15 |
| 23 15:5,19 | undertake | 20:8 22:22 |
| 16:4,15,16, | 28:14 | 31:15 |
| 20,21,24 | unfulfilled | year 8:7,8 |
| 18:24 19:2,8, | 22:22 | 26:16 |
| 13,17,24,25 | | |
| 20:9,13,18 | units 18:21 | |
| 21:3,8,14,20 | 19:15,22 | Z |
| 22:2,3,6,23 | 20:13,18,22, | 7 0 1 |
| 23:1,6,12 | 24,25 21:2,7, | Zip 9:1 |
| 24:1,6,10,16, | 11,17,20 | |
| 21,23 25:1,8, | 22:4,21 23:25 | |
| 11 26:6,8,20 | 24:5,15,19,22 | |
| 27:5,18,24 | 25:8,14 26:9, | |
| 28:2,3,7 | 22 27:1,5 | |
| 29:7,9,10 | 29:7 | |
| 30:19 31:7, | unknown 24:17 | |
| 12,21,24 | unshipped | |
| truth 4:23,24 | 21:3 | |
| | updates 12:21 | |
| try 6:14 | upload 6:18 | |
| 29:24 30:6 | aproau 0.10 | |
| 31:1 | | |
| turn 27:9 | V | |
| 28:23 31:2 | | |
| turns 7:25 | various 29:22 | |
| two 11:6 | verbally 4:10 | |
| 12:10 18:8,15 | video 31:2 | |
| type 8:13,14 | visibility | |
| types 10:18 | 15:12 | |
| typically | 10.12 | |
| 25:15 | | |
| 43.13 | W | |
| | | |
| | wait 5:25 6:3 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | T. | I I |

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 46 of 54 B2560 (Form 2560 - Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Bankruptcy Case or Adversary Proceeding) (12/15) UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Southern District of Texas In re Mining Project Wind Down Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Compute North Holdings, Inc.) Debtor Case No. 22-90273 (Complete if issued in an adversary proceeding) Chapter 11 Plaintiff ٧. Adv. Proc. No. Defendant SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A BANKRUPTCY CASE (OR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING) True North Data Solutions (U.S.), Inc. (Name of person to whom the subpoena is directed) Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding). If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment: see Exhibit to Subpoena PLACE DATE AND TIME remote video conference (instructions for attending to be provided) July 26, 2023 at 10:00a.m. (central time) The deposition will be recorded by this method: court reporter (audio and video)

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See Exhibit to Subpoena

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9016, are attached - Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and 45(g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 06/30/2023

CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Attorney's signature

The name, address, email address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Tribolet Advisors LLC, as Plan Administrator, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Nick Brown, Esq., ASK LLP, 2600 Eagan Woods Dr., Ste. 400, St. Paul, MN 55121 nbrown@askllp.com 651-289-386

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of this subpoena must be served on each party before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

| I received this subpoena for (name of individual and title, if any): True No | orth Data Solutions (U.S.), Inc. |
|--|--|
| on (date) 06/30/2023 | |
| X I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follo | |
| Inc., to the attention of Corporation Service Company, Registe Wilmington, DE 19808 on (date)06/30/2023 | ered Agent, 251 Little Falls Drive |
| ☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: | The same of the sa |
| | |
| Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, | s officers or agents, I have also tendered to the in the amount of \$ 40.00 |
| My fees are \$ for travel and \$ for services, for a tot | ral of \$ |
| | |
| I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true and c | correct. |
| Date: | |
| | Server's signature |
| The second secon | Printed name and title |
| | Server's address |

Additional information concerning attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13) (made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Rule 9016, Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure)

(c) Place of compliance.

 For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

(A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or

(B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person

(i) is a party or a party's officer; or

(ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

(A) production of documents, or electronically stored information, or things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and

(B) inspection of premises, at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an

order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified

conditions if the serving party:

 (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (g) Contempt. The court for the district where compliance is required and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

Exhibit to Subpoena

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) and 45, made applicable by Federal Bankruptcy Rules 7030 and 9016, True North Data Solutions (U.S.) Inc. ("<u>True North</u>"), is hereby requested to designate and produce for deposition one or more of its employees, officers, agents or other persons duly authorized to testify about and produce all documents regarding the following matters:

- Any and all business transactions between True North and Compute North, LLC involving Bob's Limited, at any time between January 2021 and September 2022.
- Any and all business transactions between Compute North, LLC and Bob's Limited involving True North, at any time between January 2021 and September 2022.
- The purchase of approximately 300 Minerva units by Bob's Limited from Compute North, LLC in 2021.
- The shipment to True North of approximately 300 Minerva units by or on behalf of Compute North, LLC and/or Bob's Limited, at any time between August 2021 and September 2022.
- Any payments True North made or received from Compute North, LLC between August 2021 and September 2022 in connection with the Minerva units referenced in #3 and #4, above.
- Any payments True North made or received from Bob's Limited between August 2021
 and September 2022 in connection with the Minerva units referenced in #3 and #4,
 above.
- All communications between True North and Bob's Limited regarding or related to Compute North, LLC between January 2021 and the present.

8. All communications between True North and Compute North, LLC regarding or related to Bob's Limited between January 2021 and the present, including but not limited to the email communications attached hereto.

Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6), True North has a duty to confer with the Plan Administrator in good faith about the matters for examination and to designate each person who will testify.

A check for the attendance fee accompanies this Subpoena. Any eligible travel fees under 28 U.S.C. 1821 shall be reimbursed.

Once you are ready to confer about the matters for examination, or should you have any questions about this Subpoena, please contact Nicholas Brown at nbrown@askllp.com or 651-289-3867.

Re: Transition

Peter Allard <peter@truenorthds.com>

Wed 11/17/2021 6:19 AM

To: matthew@get.love <matthew@get.love>;Ro Shirole <ro.shirole@computeholding.com>

Cc: TNDS Finance <finance@truenorthds.com>

Matthew,

Your message has been received and confirmed.

Regards,

Peter Allard

President c.1-780-983-8159 e. peter@truenorthds.com



From: matthew@get.love <matthew@get.love>

Sent: November 17, 2021 2:40 AM

To: Peter Allard <peter@truenorthds.com>; Ro Shirole <ro.shirole@computenorth.com>

Cc: TNDS Finance <finance@truenorthds.com>

Subject: Re: Transition

Good morning gents-

Thanks for your email Peter.

I confirm and acknowledge and agree to the transition of the 300 Minervas to True North. I confirm that we want the 300 Minerva's which we ordered through Compute North to be sent directly to True North in Alberta from Minerva in China. They should not go to the USA at all but rather should go to True North in Alberta directly from Minerva.

Many thanks and best regards, Matthew Merchant



From: Peter Allard <peter@truenorthds.com>
Date: Tuesday, 16 November 2021 at 20:40

To: Ro Shirole <ro.shirole@computenorth.com>, matthew@get.love <matthew@get.love>

Cc: TNDS Finance <finance@truenorthds.com>

Subject: Transition

CaSe 22-302737 Do Comemente 1265-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/120/23 Page 52 of 34 Gentlemen,

After having the opportunity to communicate with each of you independently I can confirm that the request to have (300) Minerva MV7 units re-directed from the Compute North facility to the True North facility has not been contested and we will be free to continue as discussed.

I would request that each Ro and Matthew respond to this email chain with acknowledgement and agreement to this transition to ensure that we maintain proper transparency.

Feel free to contact me directly if there are any questions or concerns.

Regards,

Peter Allard
President
c.1-780-983-8159
e. peter@truenorthds.com



Re: Transition

Peter Allard <peter@truenorthds.com>

Wed 11/17/2021 6:19 AM

To: matthew@get.love <matthew@get.love>;Ro Shirole <ro.shirole@computeholding.com>

Cc: TNDS Finance <finance@truenorthds.com>

Matthew,

Your message has been received and confirmed.

Regards,

Peter Allard

President c.1-780-983-8159 e. peter@truenorthds.com



From: matthew@get.love <matthew@get.love>

Sent: November 17, 2021 2:40 AM

To: Peter Allard <peter@truenorthds.com>; Ro Shirole <ro.shirole@computenorth.com>

Cc: TNDS Finance <finance@truenorthds.com>

Subject: Re: Transition

Good morning gents—

Thanks for your email Peter.

I confirm and acknowledge and agree to the transition of the 300 Minervas to True North. I confirm that we want the 300 Minerva's which we ordered through Compute North to be sent directly to True North in Alberta from Minerva in China. They should not go to the USA at all but rather should go to True North in Alberta directly from Minerva.

Many thanks and best regards, Matthew Merchant

From: Peter Allard <peter@truenorthds.com>
Date: Tuesday, 16 November 2021 at 20:40

To: Ro Shirole <ro.shirole@computenorth.com>, matthew@get.love <matthew@get.love>

Cc: TNDS Finance <finance@truenorthds.com>

Subject: Transition

Case 22-90273 Document 1261-14 Filed in TXSB on 09/11/23 Page 54 of 54 Gentlemen,

After having the opportunity to communicate with each of you independently I can confirm that the request to have (300) Minerva MV7 units re-directed from the Compute North facility to the True North facility has not been contested and we will be free to continue as discussed.

I would request that each Ro and Matthew respond to this email chain with acknowledgement and agreement to this transition to ensure that we maintain proper transparency.

Feel free to contact me directly if there are any questions or concerns.

Regards,

Peter Allard
President
c.1-780-983-8159
e. peter@truenorthds.com

